

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/820,257	JAIN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Dieu-Minh Le	2114	

**All Participants:**

(1) Dieu-Minh Le.

(2) Brenna A. Brock [Reg. No. 48,509].

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 14 December 2006

**Time:** 11:00 AM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

103

Claims discussed:

14-90

Prior art documents discussed:

6,996,065; 2002/0067693; 6,006,318; 5,768,271; 6,594,261; 6,105,122 & 6,760,328

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Main issues discussed were a brief overview of the technology of the claimed invention and summary of the listed prior arts above. Applicant's Representative indicated that Applicants preferred to see an Office Action before working on the claims language with the Examiner..